

1 **BEFORE THE ARIZONA STATE BOARD OF**  
2 **PODIATRY EXAMINERS**

3 IN THE MATTER OF:

4 **DANIEL BANGART, DPM,**

5 **Holder of License No. POD-000345**

6 For the Practice of Podiatry

7 In the State of Arizona.

**Case No.: 20-18-C**

**ORDER FOR CONTINUING  
EDUCATION**

**(NON-DISCIPLINARY)**

8 At its meeting on August 10, 2022, the Arizona State Board of Podiatry Examiners  
9 (Board) voted to issue Daniel Bangart, DPM (Respondent), a non-disciplinary Continuing  
10 Education (CE) Order (Order) to address issues raised in the complaint and the Board's  
11 subsequent investigation. The Board, pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-852.01(C)(3), determined that  
12 while the conduct was not of sufficient seriousness to merit direct action, Respondent would, as  
13 set forth below, benefit from completing a prescribed number of hours of CE in a specific practice  
14 area, or areas, for purposes of providing him with the necessary understanding of current  
15 developments, skills, procedures or treatment.

16 **FINDINGS OF FACT**

17 1. The Arizona State Board of Podiatry Examiners is the duly constituted agency for  
18 licensing and regulating of the practice of podiatry in the State of Arizona and has jurisdiction over  
19 Respondent as a licensee of the Board and the subject matter pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-801, *et seq.*

20 2. Respondent is the holder of License Number POD-000345 which enables him to  
21 practice podiatry in the State of Arizona.

22 3. At the Board's August 10, 2022, monthly meeting it moved to issue this Order for  
23 Continuing Education. The Board found that the Respondent had billed for CPT code 97957 for  
24 wound debridement during the 90 day global post-operative surgical period, in contradiction to the  
25 elements of the code. There was no supporting documentation in the patient's records that  
26 Respondent was addressing an issue unrelated to the surgical period. The Board also found that  
the Respondent billed CPT code 20550 for three injections on 5/28/2020, but the notes for the visit

1 only list two injections being administered between the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> interspaces.

2 4. The Board found that the medical records and documentation was lacking and that  
3 the lack of information made it difficult to determine what treatment steps were taken while SW  
4 was under the Respondent's care. Specifically, on 1/6/2019, the treatment note states that an  
5 injection was administered, but does not state what was injected, the amount injected, the amount  
6 of injections and/or the exact locations of the injections. The Board also found that many of the  
7 treatment notes contained contradictory information. Specifically, multiple treatment notes state  
8 that the patient is healed with no pain, while another sentence in the same note states the patient is  
9 in pain and requires treatment.

10 5. The Board also found that the Respondent utilized an excessive amount of steroid  
11 injections in the 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> interspaces which can result in multiple sequelae concerns  
12 including destruction of biomechanical integrity of the digits. Specifically, the Board found that  
13 the Respondent placed 23 injections into the three above mentioned interspaces at different  
14 intervals from January of 2019, to May of 2020.

15 6. Furthermore, the Board found that surgery was performed without documented  
16 conservative care. The Board found that surgery may not have been necessary.

17 7. Based on the investigative record and the discussion with the Respondent, including  
18 the issues noted above, the Board found that the Respondent would benefit from Continuing  
19 Education in the areas of patient communication and medical documentation.

## 20 **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

21 1. The Board possesses jurisdiction over the subject matter and over Respondent  
22 pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-801 *et seq.*

23 2. The Board has the authority to issue a non-disciplinary Order for Continuing  
24 Education pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-852.01(C)(3), if it believes the Respondent would benefit from  
25 completing a prescribed number of hours of CE in a specific practice area for purposes of  
26 providing him with the necessary understanding of current developments, skills, procedures or  
treatment.



1 participation of the Board's representative in the final administrative decision of the matter if it is  
2 not settled at the conference.

3 DATED THIS 18<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF AUGUST, 2022,

4  
5 ARIZONA BOARD OF PODIATRY EXAMINERS

6  
7 By: Barbara A. Campbell, D.P.M.  
8 Barbara A. Campbell, D.P.M., Board President

9 Original of the foregoing was e-filed  
10 this 18th day of August, 2022 with the:

11 Arizona State Board of Podiatry Examiners  
12 1740 West Adams Street, Suite 3004  
13 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

14 Copies of the foregoing sent by Electronic,  
15 Certified and Regular mail  
16 this 18th day of August, 2022 to:

17 Dr. Daniel Bangart, DPM  
18 Address of Record  
19 *Respondent*

20 Kenneth Moskow, Esq.  
21 Jones, Skelton & Hochuli, P.L.C.  
22 40 North Central Avenue, Ste. 2700  
23 Phoenix, AZ 85004  
24 *Attorney for the Respondent*

25 Copy of the foregoing sent via Electronic mail  
26 this 18th day of August, 2022 to:

Seamus Monaghan  
Assistant Attorney General  
2005 N. Central Avenue, SGD/LES  
Phoenix, Arizona 85004  
*Attorney for the Board*