



Douglas A. Ducey,  
Governor

Arizona State Board of  
Podiatry Examiners  
“Protecting the Public’s Health”

1400 West Washington, Suite 230  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007  
P: (602)542-8151  
F: (602)542-3093  
W: [www.podiatry.az.gov](http://www.podiatry.az.gov)

## OPEN SESSION MINUTES

### July 13, 2016

Members of the Arizona State Board of Podiatry Examiners (“Board”) held a meeting at 8:32 a.m. on Wednesday, July 13, 2016 at the Board’s office in Suite B-1, 1400 West Washington Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85007. An executive session was held from 9:07 a.m. to 9:18 a.m.

#### BOARD MEMBERS:

Barbara Campbell, D.P.M..... Board President and Practitioner Member  
John Rhodes ..... Secretary and Public Member  
Barry Kaplan, D.P.M. .... Practitioner Member  
Joseph Leonetti, D.P.M..... Practitioner Member  
M. Elizabeth Miles..... Public Member

#### STAFF AND ASSISTANT ATTORNEYS GENERAL PRESENT:

Ryan P. Edmonson..... Executive Director  
Frankie Shinn-Eckberg, Esq. .... Assistant Attorney General

#### GUESTS PRESENT AND/OR PARTICIPATING

Tharesh Udupa, DPM ..... Respondent  
Bruce D. Crawford, Esq..... Respondent’s Attorney

The meeting was called to order at 8:32 a.m. by Dr. Barbara Campbell. The following order of business was then considered:

### **OPEN SESSION**

#### **CONSENT AGENDA**

##### **Renewal Applications for Arizona Podiatric License and Dispensing Registration**

##### **180. Udupa, Thares, DPM**

Dr. Thares Udupa was present along with his legal counsel, Bruce D. Crawford. The Board reviewed Dr. Udupa's renewal application, his response to the dispensing of drugs/devices prior to receiving a registration to dispense, his answers to the professional conduct questions and his supporting documents for the malpractice claim. Dr. Udupa and Mr. Crawford both described the misunderstanding of moving from operating his own practice to practicing within a medical group and the fees that an administrator, of the entity, paid on his behalf when submitting his renewal application. Mr. Crawford described the malpractice claim as one in which Dr. Udupa was named as a party to a claim that was filed against Walgreens and that the case is ongoing with no final outcome yet.

After discussion regarding the misunderstanding of the dispensing requirement and the litigation that has not yet come to a conclusion, a motion was made by Dr. Barry Kaplan, seconded by M. Elizabeth Miles and passed unanimously to approve of Dr. Udupa's renewal application.

#### **REVIEW, DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON BOARD MEETING MINUTES**

##### **Discussion and approval of the Open Session Minutes from the May 11, 2016 board meeting**

A motion was made by Dr. Barry Kaplan, seconded by John Rhodes and passed 4 – 0 – 1 to approve the Open Session Minutes from the June 8, 2016, Board meeting.

*M. Elizabeth Miles abstained.*

##### **Discussion and approval of the Executive Session Minutes from the May 11, 2016 board meeting**

A motion was made by Dr. Barry Kaplan, seconded by Dr. Barbara Campbell and passed 4 – 0 – 1 to approve to approve the Executive Session Minutes from the June 8, 2016, Board meeting.

*M. Elizabeth Miles abstained.*

#### **ITEMS FOR BOARD REVIEW, DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION**

##### **Application(s) for Registration to Dispense Drugs/Devices – A.A.C. R4-25-602**

**Hua, Natalie T., DPM**

**Jay, Kristina, DPM**

**Killian, Ronald B., DPM**

**Knochel, John D., DPM**

**Natcher, Anna M., DPM**

**Plaskey, Nathan, DPM**

**Tager, Steven E., DPM**

After discussion, a motion was made by Dr. Barry Kaplan, seconded by John Rhodes and passed unanimously to grant Registrations to Dispense Drugs/Devices for the applicants.

Investigation Files16-21-B; O'Brien, Kevin, DPM

After the Board discussed their disciplinary options, a motion was made by M. Elizabeth Miles, seconded by Dr. Barry Kaplan and passed to offer a Consent Agreement to include a \$500.00 administrative civil penalty for dispensing devices prior to the Board granting him a Registration to Dispense Drugs/Devices.

Request to Amend the Board's Minutes or Action from its July 9, 2014 MeetingSallus, Karyn L., DPM

The Board reviewed Dr. Karyn L. Sallus' letter and request. The Board discussed being sympathetic to Dr. Sallus' concerns, but also believed that the Board's Minutes are accurate and reflect what occurred on July 9, 2014. In addition, the Board's discussed that their Minutes from the August 2014 meeting help explain what occurred in July 2014. The Board stated that the terms used are terms of art and reflect what the Board is required to state based on Arizona Statutes and Rules.

After discussion, a motion was made by M. Elizabeth Miles, seconded by Dr. Barbara Campbell and passed unanimously to deny Dr. Sallus' request to amend the Board's Minutes from July 9, 2014 and to direct staff to explain what occurred, with a chronology of events, since it is not clear due to the terms of art.

Response to Offered Consent Agreement15-21-B; Knochel, Joseph, DPM

Neither Dr. Joseph Knochel, nor his attorney Stephen W. Myers were present. Frankie Shinn-Eckberg requested that the Board go into executive session so she could provide legal advice.

A motion was made by Dr. Barbara Campbell, seconded by John Rhodes and passed unanimously to go into executive session at 9:07 a.m. to seek legal advice pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.03(A)(3) regarding file no. 15-21-B.

After consideration in executive session, the Board reconvened in open session at 9:18 a.m.

**OPEN SESSION****ITEMS FOR BOARD REVIEW, DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION**Response to Offered Consent Agreement15-21-B; Knochel, Joseph, DPM

Neither Dr. Joseph Knochel, nor his attorney Stephen W. Myers were present.

A motion was made by M. Elizabeth Miles and seconded by Dr. Barry Kaplan to offer a new Consent Agreement to include a \$500.00 administrative civil penalty for Dr. Knochel's failure to timely report his misdemeanor charges as required by A.R.S. § 32-3208. The motion passed 4 – 1 by the following roll call vote:

Dr. Joseph Leonetti – No  
Dr. Barbara Campbell – Aye  
Dr. Barry Kaplan – Aye  
M. Elizabeth Miles – Aye

John Rhodes – Aye

After the vote, M. Elizabeth Miles made the following statement for the public record:

*“This reporting requirement has been in place for at least 10 years and probably a little longer than that. I was particularly concerned with Mr. Myers’ statement in his letter to the Board that Dr. Knochel would be happy to pay or donate \$500.00 to the Board because he didn’t think a civil penalty was appropriate. Surely, Mr. Myers knows that a donation or payment to the Board would be inappropriate. In addition, the fact that other professional regulatory boards may or may not treat these matters like the Board of Podiatry is unconvincing to me as a Board Member. This Board acts independent of any other professional regulatory board and makes its decisions based on the information that it has and it does the best job that it can.”*

Dr. Joseph Leonetti agreed with M. Elizabeth Miles and made the following statement for the public record:

*“I have some concerns with the letter that Mr. Myers submitted to the Board, in particular, the last paragraph on page 3, where he referenced ‘a highly regarded osteopathic orthopedic surgeon that was arrested for a DUI and asked his experienced criminal defense attorney whether he should report the charge to the Arizona Osteopathic Medical Board to which the answer was no’. I am not sure why this is in [the letter]. I don’t know what he’s trying to reference, or if he’s trying to [infer] that these doctors should report these to the boards. You know, it’s interesting that over the years we’ve had attorneys and doctors come before this Board and say, ‘Well thank God that you guys don’t do things like BOMEX does’ and now recently, we’re getting people saying that we should do things more like BOMEX does.*

*So, it’s a little ironic, but what they [BOMEX] do and what we do are two independently different concerns. We have different rules and regulations, but as a point of reference for me, I review BOMEX’s disciplinary actions on a regular basis. I read their reports to keep up with them to [determine] if we are in the same ballpark as they are. I can tell you that for a physician with a first-time offense, without being an extreme DUI, a summary suspension is not an unusual option with probation following that.*

*This case is complicated by the fact that it was delayed and there were some issues going on within our board [staff] itself. I find it frustrating that because the doctor participated in delaying his [notification to the Board] that it’s ending up this. I agree with what Lisa Miles stated as well.”*

A motion was made by John Rhodes and seconded by Dr. Barry Kaplan to rescind the Board’s previous action on this matter. Prior to the vote, Dr. Joseph Leonetti described why he voted no on the previous action is because he does not agree with how the case ended up with a simple administrative civil penalty. Mr. Rhodes concurred with Dr. Leonetti’s statement, which is why he wants to rescind the previous board action on this matter and request a new motion. The motion passed unanimously.

A motion was made by M. Elizabeth Miles and seconded by Dr. Barry Kaplan to offer a new Consent Agreement to include a \$500.00 administrative civil penalty for Dr. Knochel's failure to timely report his misdemeanor charges as required by A.R.S. § 32-3208. Dr. Kaplan stated that the reason he is voting in favor of the motion is that it's a state statute, which has nothing to do with how the case was neglected by either the doctor or perhaps even the Board. Dr. Kaplan further stated that there is no need to send some sort of message other than Dr. Knochel violated a state statute and should be held accountable to that statute. The motion passed 3 – 2 by the following roll call vote:

Dr. Joseph Leonetti – No  
Dr. Barbara Campbell – Aye  
Dr. Barry Kaplan – Aye  
M. Elizabeth Miles – Aye  
John Rhodes – No

M. Elizabeth Miles requested that both Dr. Joseph Leonetti and John Rhodes confirm that the reason they voted no is because they believe that there should be more action taken by the Board to include probation for up to 12 months with quarterly reporting by an addictionologist and an administrative civil penalty in the amount of \$500.00. Dr. Leonetti and Mr. Rhodes agreed with the assessment. They both agreed that an administrative civil penalty for \$500.00 is warranted, but they also both agree that the Board should seek more disciplinary action.

A motion was made by M. Elizabeth Miles, seconded by Dr. Barbara Campbell and passed unanimously to direct board staff to write a special letter to the doctor's attorney to express their extreme displeasure with the letter the attorney wrote to the Board, including his disregard for the practice of law before this Board. The Board wishes to make clear that the Board is deeply concerned with the attorney's understanding of administrative civil penalties and his characterization of such, as well as, his understanding that this Board is independent from all other regulatory medical Boards. As such, this Board has chosen not to ignore the law, which the attorney professed that other Boards do because "...all too few licensees are aware of this requirement and that exceedingly few criminal defense attorneys are aware of this requirement". The Board characterized the attorney's offer of a donation as flippant, as well as possibly being viewed as a bribe, and therefore – illegal. The Board's hope is that the attorney did not intend either and will refrain from making such comments before this Board or any others in the future.

#### Review Compliance/Non-compliance with Consent Agreement

##### 13-02-C; Evans, Robert, DPM

The Board discussed several deficiencies in the first audit of Dr. Robert Evans' surgeries and his documentation and billing practices. The Board instructed board staff to write a letter to Dr. Evans instructing him to contact Dr. Joseph Leonetti, as the liaison of the Board, to discuss and review full medical charts prior to his next submission for review

#### **CONSENT AGENDA**

##### Renewal Applications for Arizona Podiatric License and Dispensing Registration

Due to conflicts, Dr. Joseph Leonetti requested the following doctors be pulled from the consent agenda and voted on separately:

5. Bangart, Keth;

- 6. Bangart, Daniel;
- 66. Hall, Jared;
- 89. Kroleski, Janna;
- 100. Leonetti, Joseph; and
- 101. Leonetti, Michael.

A motion was made by M. Elizabeth Miles, seconded by John Rhodes and passed unanimously to approve of the following doctors' renewal applications and dispensing registrations, if applicable:

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1. Andrews, Boyd                           | 40. Erredge, Susan                          |
| 2. Askari, Shahram                         | 41. Erotas, John                            |
| 3. Aung, Barbara                           | 42. Esber, Michael                          |
| 4. Axt, Steven                             | 43. Espensen, Eric                          |
| 5. <i>Item removed for separate action</i> | 44. <i>Item removed for separate action</i> |
| 6. <i>Item removed for separate action</i> | 45. <i>Item removed for separate action</i> |
| 7. Bates, David                            | 46. Fink, Barton                            |
| 8. Benjamin-Swonger, Mary                  | 47. Fisher, Timothy                         |
| 9. Blocher, Kenneth                        | 48. Freed, Lewis                            |
| 10. Bock, Erich                            | 49. Freeman, Denise                         |
| 11. Bodmer, Edward                         | 50. Freestone, Darick                       |
| 12. Borreggine, Joseph                     | 51. Friedlander, Gary                       |
| 13. Botte, Raymond                         | 52. Funk, Christopher                       |
| 14. Brekke, Mark                           | 53. Galli, Melissa                          |
| 15. Brewer, Michael                        | 54. Geller, Stephen                         |
| 16. Brigandi, Catherine                    | 55. Gillespie, Steven                       |
| 17. Broadhead, Brian                       | 56. Gillroy, Kelley                         |
| 18. Burns, Ana                             | 57. Gooch, David                            |
| 19. Carlson, Alan                          | 58. Gorman, Ike                             |
| 20. Caton, Adam                            | 59. Granone, Julia                          |
| 21. Choe, Ki                               | 60. Griffin, Douglas                        |
| 22. Cheney, Allison                        | 61. Gross, Arnold                           |
| 23. Chudy, Donald                          | 62. Gunzy, Todd                             |
| 24. Coffey, Jamie                          | 63. Haddad, Marisa                          |
| 25. Cohen, Richard                         | 64. Haddon, Todd                            |
| 26. Collins, Karl                          | 65. Hall, Hugh                              |
| 27. Copoloff, Jeffrey                      | 66. <i>Item removed for separate action</i> |
| 28. Costantino, Michael                    | 67. Hansen, Myron                           |
| 29. Cota, Laureen                          | 68. Harant, Jr., William                    |
| 30. Couch, Heather                         | 69. Harlan, John                            |
| 31. Cox, Samuel                            | 70. Heath, Laura                            |
| 32. Dancho, James                          | 71. Holgado, Ronaldo                        |
| 33. Daulat, Rajesh                         | 72. Hollander, Steven                       |
| 34. Dechowitz, Brian                       | 73. Horvarth, Mia                           |
| 35. Domanico, Joseph                       | 74. Hsu, Daniel                             |
| 36. Doyle, Dustin                          | 75. Huish, James                            |
| 37. Elison, Peyman                         | 76. Huston, Erika                           |
| 38. Elison, Viedra                         | 77. Janowicz, Rachel                        |
| 39. Ellis, Mark                            | 78. Jay, Kristina                           |

79. Jones, Jacob
80. Jones, Noland
81. Jong, Annie
82. Joseph, Warren
83. Kayce, Jason
84. Keenen, Lee
85. Kessel, Nicole
86. King, Jonathan
87. Knochel, John
88. Ko, Edalyn
89. *Item removed for separate action*
90. Kruczay, Adam
91. Kubala, Teisha
92. Lamster, Todd
93. Lardizabal, Marisse
94. Larkin, Kirk
95. Laurino, Jr., David
96. Leach, Kimberly
97. Ledesma, Paul
98. Lee, David
99. Lee, Herbert
100. *Item removed for separate action*
101. *Item removed for separate action*
102. Longton, James
103. Loo, Gregory
104. Losi, Therese
105. Lowy, Andrew
106. Lubeck, Stanley
107. Mann, Cindy
108. Mann, Steven
109. Marin, John
110. Marquez, Allison
111. Marshall, Verlan
112. Martin, Erin
113. Martin, Richard
114. Maselli, Mary
115. McAlister, Jeffrey
116. McCarthy, Cathleen
117. *Item removed for separate action*
118. McPhie, Jared
119. Mecham, Brandon
120. Mendicino, Robert
121. Merrill, Peter
122. Miller, Lois
123. Miltchin, Hartley
124. Momijan, Pierre
125. Moore, Steven
126. Mott, Richard
127. Moyer, Wayne
128. Murad, Craig
129. Myers, Robin
130. Nagy, Ronald
131. Natcher, Anna
132. Nelson, Jacob
133. Niemann, Spencer
134. Palacios, Isaac
135. Pallini, Lisa
136. Paul, Marie
137. Pawlowski, Jeffrey
138. Peterson, Kent
139. Peterson, Jr., Raymond
140. Pfitzer, Tawnya
141. Plaskey, Nathan
142. Powers, John
143. Price, Jess
144. Richards, Kathleen
145. Richer, Lee
146. Quint, Richard
147. Rampertab, Deo
148. Richardson, Phillip
149. Robison, Glen
150. Roeder, Brett
151. Rosenblum, Michael
152. Sallus, Karyn
153. Sana, Nima
154. Sangalang, Maria
155. Saunders, Daniel
156. Schade, Valerie
157. Schulman, Daniel
158. Scott, Ryan
159. Seidner, Arthur
160. Sekosky, Timothy
161. Shapiro, Paul
162. Sherman, Allen
163. Shih, Alan
164. *Item removed for separate action*
165. Short, Timothy
166. Siegel, Donald
167. Simmons, Shaun
168. Sluiter, Eric
169. Smith, Karen
170. Smith, Martin
171. Stone, Kathleen
172. Suykerbuyk, Christopher

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| 173. Tallis, Arthur                          | 187. Weiss, Jeffrey                          |
| 174. Tassone, John                           | 188. Werber, Bruce                           |
| 175. Taylor, Robert                          | 189. Westphal, Chad                          |
| 176. Thaler, George                          | 190. Whitaker, Bradley                       |
| 177. Thomas, Jeffrey                         | 191. Wilde, Troy                             |
| 178. Tierney, Edward                         | 192. Withrow, Margaret                       |
| 179. Trattner, Eric                          | 193. Wolf, Arnold                            |
| 180. <i>Item removed for separate action</i> | 194. Wyant, Scott                            |
| 181. Vaughn, Kyle                            | 195. Yozsa, Serrina                          |
| 182. Vella, Joseph                           | 196. Zachow, Robert                          |
| 183. Violand, Melanie                        | 197. <i>Item removed for separate action</i> |
| 184. Walters, Jodi                           | 198. Zappa, Frank                            |
| 185. Wessel, Loren                           | 199. Zielsdorf, Lee                          |
| 186. Westerhaus, Lindsey                     | 200. Zonis, Larry                            |

5. Bangart, Keth

6. Bangart, Daniel

The Board reviewed Drs. Keith and Daniel Bangarts' renewal applications, their answers to the professional conduct questions and their supporting documentation regarding a malpractice claim.

After the discussion, a motion was made by Dr. Barry Kaplan to subpoena all records and open board complaints.

*Dr. Barry Kaplan withdrew his motion.*

After further discussion, a motion was made by M. Elizabeth Miles, seconded by John Rhodes and passed 4 – 0 – 1 to approve of Drs. Keith and Daniel Bangarts' renewal applications and dispensing registrations and to also reserve the right to pursue action, in the future, based on the outcome of the malpractice claim. The reason for not opening complaint files now is due to previous civil/criminal claims in which the Board immediately opened complaint files, wasted several months in investigating, stymied by the legal process and ultimately the doctor was absolved of any wrongdoing and prevailed at the civil/criminal hearing.

*Dr. Joseph Leonetti declared conflicts and did not participate in the discussion or vote.*

44. Evans, Robert

The Board reviewed Dr. Robert Evans' renewal application, his answers to the professional conduct questions and his supporting documentation regarding a malpractice claim.

A motion was made by M. Elizabeth Miles, seconded by John Rhodes and passed unanimously to approve of Dr. Robert Evans' renewal application and to also reserve the right to pursue action, in the future, based on the outcome of the malpractice claim. The reason for not opening a complaint file now is due to previous civil/criminal claims in which the Board immediately opened complaint files, wasted several months in investigating, stymied by the legal process and ultimately the doctor was absolved of any wrongdoing and prevailed at the civil/criminal hearing.

45. Farrell, Patrick

The Board reviewed Dr. Patrick Farrell's renewal application, his answers to the professional conduct questions and his supporting documentation regarding a malpractice claim.

After board staff informed the Board that the malpractice matter also already has an open complaint, a motion was made by M. Elizabeth Miles, seconded by John Rhodes and passed unanimously to approve of Dr. Patrick Farrell's renewal application and dispensing registration.

66. Hall, Jared

The Board reviewed Dr. Jared Hall's renewal application, his answers to the professional conduct questions and his supporting documentation regarding a malpractice claim.

A motion was made by M. Elizabeth Miles, seconded by Dr. Barbara Campbell and passed 4 – 0 – 1 to approve of Dr. Jared Hall's renewal application and to also reserve the right to pursue action, in the future, based on the outcome of the malpractice claim. The reason for not opening a complaint file now is due to previous civil/criminal claims in which the Board immediately opened complaint files, wasted several months in investigating, stymied by the legal process and ultimately the doctor was absolved of any wrongdoing and prevailed at the civil/criminal hearing.

*Dr. Joseph Leonetti declared a conflict and did not participate in the discussion or vote.*

89. Kroleski, Janna

Board staff informed the Board that Dr. Kroleski failed to answer yes to the renewal question of whether she has been named as a defendant in a medical malpractice matter during the past 12 months. The Board discussed that it's unclear if she has even been served the complaint from the court in which the malpractice claim is filed and ultimately she will have to provide information on the matter if she is found liable once the claim is settled.

A motion was made by M. Elizabeth Miles, seconded by John Rhodes and passed 4 – 0 – 1 to approve of Dr. Janna Kroleski's renewal application and dispensing registration and to also reserve the right to pursue action, in the future, based on the outcome of the malpractice claim. The reason for not opening a complaint file now is due to previous civil/criminal claims in which the Board immediately opened complaint files, wasted several months in investigating, stymied by the legal process and ultimately the doctor was absolved of any wrongdoing and prevailed at the civil/criminal hearing.

*Dr. Joseph Leonetti declared a conflict and did not participate in the discussion or vote.*

100. Leonetti, Joseph

A motion was made by M. Elizabeth Miles, seconded by John Rhodes and passed 4 – 0 – 1 to approve of Dr. Joseph Leonetti's renewal application and dispensing registration.

*Dr. Joseph Leonetti declared a conflict and did not vote.*

101. Leonetti, Michael

A motion was made by M. Elizabeth Miles, seconded by John Rhodes and passed 4 – 0 – 1 to approve of Dr. Joseph Leonetti's renewal application.

*Dr. Joseph Leonetti declared a conflict and did not vote.*

117. McKay, Cameron

The Board reviewed Dr. Cameron McKay's renewal application, his answers to the professional conduct questions and his supporting documentation regarding a malpractice claim. The Board also reviewed the letter written by his attorney on his behalf explaining that there is no malpractice claim. The Board understands that Dr. McKay was trying to be as transparent as possible with his disclosure of his matter, but agreed that the matter has not been filed, and therefore is not a malpractice claim.

After discussion, a motion was made by Dr. Barry Kaplan, seconded by John Rhodes and passed unanimously to approve of Dr. Cameron McKay's renewal application.

164. Shoffer, James

The Board reviewed Dr. James Shoffer renewal application, his answers to the professional conduct questions and his supporting documentation regarding a malpractice claim.

A motion was made by Dr. Barry Kaplan, seconded by M. Elizabeth Miles and passed unanimously to approve of Dr. James Shoffer's renewal application and dispensing registration and to also reserve the right to pursue action, in the future, based on the outcome of the malpractice claim. The reason for not opening a complaint file now is due to previous civil/criminal claims in which the Board immediately opened complaint files, wasted several months in investigating, stymied by the legal process and ultimately the doctor was absolved of any wrongdoing and prevailed at the civil/criminal hearing.

197. Zang, Kerry

The Board reviewed Dr. Kerry Zang's renewal application, his answers to the professional conduct questions and his supporting documentation regarding a malpractice claim.

A motion was made by Dr. Barry Kaplan, seconded by John Rhodes and passed unanimously to approve of Dr. Kerry Zang's renewal application and dispensing registration and to also reserve the right to pursue action, in the future, based on the outcome of the malpractice claim. The reason for not opening a complaint file now is due to previous civil/criminal claims in which the Board immediately opened complaint files, wasted several months in investigating, stymied by the legal process and ultimately the doctor was absolved of any wrongdoing and prevailed at the civil/criminal hearing.

**EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT**

The Board reviewed the budget, agency operations and complaint log with Ryan P. Edmonson. The Board discussed the current question pool for the jurisprudence exam for new applicants and the draft questions that Dr. Campbell wrote. The Board made amendments to the draft question pool and discussed having a larger pool of questions to have a more random exam for each exam period.

**SUMMARY OF CURRENT EVENTS**

Dr. Joseph Leonetti commented on how nice it is to correspond with the board's office and receive a prompt response. Other Board members agreed and echoed the comments they hear out in the public.

**DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ITEMS TO BE PLACED ON FUTURE MEETING AGENDAS**

None were discussed.

**CALL TO THE PUBLIC**

No one from the public was present.

**NEXT BOARD MEETING DATE**

Wednesday, August 10, 2016 at 8:30 a.m.

**ADJOURNMENT**

A motion was made by Dr. Barbara Campbell, seconded by M. Elizabeth Miles and passed unanimously to adjourn at 11:15 a.m.